

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/511,236	09/26/2005	Shengyang Huang	F-8417	1828	
28107 JORDAN AN	7590 06/17/200 D HAMBURG LLP	EXAMINER			
122 EAST 421	ND STREET	NG, EUNICE			
SUITE 4000 NEW YORK,	NY 10168		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2626		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/17/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/511,236	HUANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eunice Ng	2626	

	Eunice Ng	2626						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 21 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (2) a Notice of Application (3) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) application (4) and (4) are supplied to the following application (4) are supplied to the following applied to the f	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITH MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee hourser 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) a set for thin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled may reduce any semed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>								
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the appeal; and/or</li> </ul>								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ripliant Amendment (	F 1 OL-324).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided.</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a No	tion of Annual will not	he entored					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. \(\overline{\text{Z}}\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance bed See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)   13. Other:								
/David R Hudspeth/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2626								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants submit that Hirose fails to teach a conversation database including a plurality of reply sentences. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As indicated in the previous office action, Hirose teaches storing a dialogue stream. Further, as described in paragraphs 33-84, the dialogue stream comprises a plurality of message documents, which contain data representing the contents of conversations (sentences). Thus, Hirose would fairly teach or suggest a conversation database including a plurality of reply sentences. Paragraph 185, teaches "Keyword generator breaks up sentences...according to a morphemic analysis...and extracts a plurality of words therefrom," which would contain first and second morphemes.

Applicants submit that Hirose fails to leach a determination of a type of input including affirmation or negation. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As indicated in the previous office action, since Hirose teaches evaluation based on matching (paragraph 177, 192 and 219), which would necessarily require determination of what the input is, including an affirmation or negation.

Applicants submit that Hirose fails to teach topic identification information. The examiner respectfully disagrees. As indicated in the previous office action, Hirose teaches a subject input box (for example in paragraphs 116 and 119) and retrieval (paragraph 188). Applicants submit that Hirose fails to teach ranking according to the frequency of search of a piece of second morpheme information at the topic search unit. However, as indicated in the previous office action, Hirose at paragraphs 177, 189, and 198 teaches generating a keyword list, which includes information representing the frequency of appearance in the message documents contained in the dialogue streams and a related dialogue candidate list, and paragraph 258, as well as paragraph 192-194, teaches their ranking when displayed.